

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

ROBERT BRISTOW,

Defendant.

8:05CR374

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Robert Bristow (Bristow) (Filing No. 20). Bristow seeks a continuance of the trial of this matter due to trial scheduling conflicts of his counsel. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Bristow's motion to continue trial (Filing No. 20) is granted.
2. Trial of this matter is re-scheduled for **June 5, 2006**, before Chief Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **April 17, 2006 and June 5, 2006**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 17th day of April, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge